1 E. MARTIN ESTRADA United States Attorney 2 MACK E. JENKINS Assistant United States Attorney 3 Chief, Criminal Division MIRI SONG (Cal. Bar No. 291140) 4 Assistant United States Attorney International Narcotics, Money 5 Laundering, & Racketeering Section 1400 United States Courthouse 6 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 7 Telephone: (213) 894-2262 Facsimile: (213) 894-0142 8 E-mail: miri.song@usdoj.gov 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 23-564-MWF 14 Plaintiff, ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING EXCLUDABLE 15 TIME PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY V. TRIAL ACT 16 ERICK OVED ESTRADA, ET AL., NEW TRIAL DATE: 8/5/2025, 8:30am 17 ERICK OVED ESTRADA (#1), SC DATE: 6/23/2025, 1:30pm 18 ARIAN ALANI (#2), and 19 CASEYA CHANEL BROWN (#5), Defendants. 20 21 22 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding 23 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of

Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the government and defendants Erick Oved Estrada, Arian Alani, and Caseya Chanel Brown, (collectively, the "defendants"), in this matter on November 6, 2024. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates

24

25

26

27

28

facts that support a continuance of the trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendants in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendants continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

## THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

- 1. The trial in this matter is continued as to all defendants in this matter (defendants numbers one through six) from the earliest set trial date of November 12, 2024 to August 5, 2025. The status conference hearing is continued to June 23, 2025.
- 2. The time period of November 12, 2024 to August 5, 2025, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv). Additionally, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6), the time period of November 12, 2024 to August 5, 2025, inclusive, constitutes a reasonable period of delay for defendants Tomas Marquez-Ruiz, Gilberto Marquez, and Zoila Estrada, who are joined for trial with co-defendants, as to whom the time for trial has not run and no motion for severance has been granted.
- 3. Defendants Tomas Marquez-Ruiz, Caseya Brown, and Zoila Estrada, shall appear in Courtroom 5A of the Federal Courthouse, 350

W. 1st Street, Los Angeles, California on August 5, 2025, at 8:30 a.m.

4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 8, 2024

DATE

MICHAEL W. FITZGERA

United States District Judge

Presented by:

/s/ MiRi Song

MIRI SONG

Assistant United States Attorney